The Congressional Digest

$8.50 A YEAR
$1.00 A COPY

FOUNDED 1921
PUBLISHED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL DIGEST CORPORATION
EDITORIAL OFFICES: 1631 K STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON 6, D. C.
A. GRAM ROBINSON, *Publisher* N. T. N. ROBINSON, 3d, *Editor*

An Independent Monthly Featuring Controversies In Congress, Pro and Con.
Not An Official Organ, Not Controlled By Any Party, Interest, Class Or Sect.

TITLE PAGE AND INDEX TO VOLUME THIRTY-FOUR

JANUARY TO DECEMBER

1955

NATIONAL ISSUES PRESENTED, *PRO* AND *CON*, DURING 1955, VOLUME 34, 1-12

	Pages		Pages
JANUARY, Vol. 34, No. 1 . . . . The "Dixon-Yates" Controversy	1-32	JUNE-JULY, Vol. 34, Nos. 6-7 . . . Federal Control of Gas Producers	161-192
FEBRUARY, Vol. 34, No. 2 . . . Organization of New 84th Congress	33-64	AUG.-SEPT., Vol. 34, Nos. 8-9 . . Federal Subsidies for Higher Education	193-224
MARCH, Vol. 34, No. 3 . . . . . Federal Health Reinsurance Plan	65-69	OCTOBER, Vol. 34, No. 10 . . . . The Bricker Treaty Power Amendment	225-256
APRIL, Vol. 34, No. 4 . . . . . New National Military Reserve Plan	97-128	NOVEMBER, Vol. 34, No. 11 . . . U.N. Charter Review Conference	257-288
MAY, Vol. 34, No. 5 . . . . . President's Ten-Year Highway Program	129-160	DECEMBER, Vol. 34, No. 12 . . . President's Partnership Power Policy	289-314

BULK COPY RATES: 5 @ 75c each; 10 @ 65c each; 25 @ 60c each; 50 @ 55c each; 100 and up @ 50c each (Postage Paid)
SUBSCRIPTION RATES: 1 yr., $8.50; 2 yrs., $16; 3 yrs., $20. Foreign: 50c extra per yr. Back Copies: increase 25c ea. per yr.
ADDRESS ORDERS and make checks payable to: CONGRESSIONAL DIGEST CORP., 1631 K St., N.W., Washington 6, D. C.

Entered as Second Class Matter Sept. 26, 1921, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under Act of March 3, 1879. Additional entry as Second Class Matter at the Post Office at Baltimore, Md., under Act of March 3, 1879, authorized Aug. 22, 1927. Published every month, except July and August. Yearly Index to each volume, published with the December issue. Indexed in The Readers Guide and other Indexing Publications. Copyright 1955 by The Congressional Digest Corporation, Washington, D. C.

INDEX TO VOLUME THIRTY-FOUR

NUMBERS 1 TO 12

PAGE

A

ALLMAN, DR. DAVID B., Member, Board of Trustees and Chairman, Committee on Legislation, American Medical Association
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 87

AMERICAN FARM BUREAU FEDERATION
Con—Is The President's New Highway Program Sound?.. 157

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 121

AMERICAN LEGION
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 117

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 87

AMERICAN PUBLIC POWER ASSOCIATION
Con—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise? 307

AMERICAN VETERANS OF WORLD WAR II
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 116

ATHERTON, WARREN H., Commissioner, National Security Training Commission
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 124

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
(See January issue)

B

BLUE CROSS COMMISSION
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 94

BORCHARDT, SELMA M., Washington Representative, American Federation of Teachers
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 212

BORING, MAYNARD M., Chairman, Engineering Manpower Commission
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 115

BOSS, CHARLES F., JR., Executive Secretary, Board of World Peace, Methodist Church
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 125

BOW, FRANK T., U. S. Representative (Ohio-R.)
Pro—Should The Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? 298

BRADY, ELIZABETH L.
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 223

BRICKER, JOHN W., U. S. Senator (Ohio-R.)
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 234
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 274

PAGE

BRICKER TREATY-POWER AMENDMENT (October Issue)
Foreword 225
Present "Treaty Power" Provisions..... 226
Types of U. S. Foreign Agreements..... 227
The U. S. A. and the U. N. Treaties..... 228
Steps Taken by Congress, 1949-1955..... 230
Legal Cases Cited in Debate..... 232
Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? (Pro and Con Discussion) 234

BROWNELL, HERBERT, Attorney General of the United States
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?.... 150

BUDGET, BUREAU OF THE
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest?.. 14

BURGESS, CARTER L., Asst. Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Personnel
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 112

BUSH, DR. DOUGLAS, Professor of English, Harvard University
Opposing Views on College Attendance..... 204

BYRD, HARRY F., U. S. Senator (Va.-D.)
Con—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?... 145

C

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH, Comptroller General of the United States
Con—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?.. 149

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE U. S.
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 83
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 282
Pro—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise? 306

CHILDS, JOSEPH W., Vice-president, United Rubber, Cork, Linoleum, and Plastic Workers of America
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 91

CHODOROV, FRANK, Editor, *The Freeman*
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 217

CITY COUNCIL, Chicago, Illinois
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 189

COLE, DR. RUSSELL D., President, Cornell College
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 213

COLLEGES
(See Higher Education)

COLLINS, SEABORN P., National Commander, American Legion
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 117

CONGRESS OF INDUSTRIAL ORGANIZATIONS
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 91
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 183

PAGE

CONGRESS OF THE U. S.
(See also Month in Congress)
84th U. S. Congress, The New (February issue)
Action Possible in New ——— re Dixon-Yates 5
The Committee System ——— at Work 47
Committee Jurisdiction and New Chairmen 50
State Delegations to 84th ——— 60
——— Tackles the Reserve Problem 109
Action Taken By ——— Re Natural Gas 167
Steps Taken By ———, 1949-1955, Re Bricker Amendment 230
Steps Taken By ——— Re Power Policy 290

CONSTITUTION OF THE U. S.
Authority for National Reserve Plan 106
Aspects Re Natural Gas Producer Regulation 169
U. S. Constitution and Education 197
"Treaty-Power" Provisions 226

COON, SAM, U. S. Representative (Ore.-R.)
Pro—Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership? 309

COOPER, JOHN SHERMAN, U. S. Senator (Ky.-R.)
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract In the Public Interest? .. 29

D

DEFENSE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 110

DEUTSCH, EBERHARD P., Member, Committee on Peace and Law Through the U. N., American Bar Association
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 238

DIXON-YATES CONTROVERSY (January Issue)
Foreword 1
Step By Step Developments 2
Action Possible In Congress 5
Authority and Terms of the Contract 6
Related Legal Issues Raised 8
Federal Agencies Directly Involved 10
The Tennessee Valley Authority 12
Other Federal Power Interests 13
Dixon-Yates Controversy, The (Pro and Con Discussion) .. 14

DOUGLAS, PAUL H., U. S. Senator (Ill.-D.)
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 175

DULLES, JOHN FOSTER, U. S. Secretary of State
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 235
(See also November issue)

DUNCAN, JOHN V., Chairman, International Law Committee Association of the New York City Bar
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 239

E

EDUCATION
(See Higher Education)

EICHELBERGER, CLARK M., Director, American Association for the U. N.
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 281

EIGHTY-FOURTH CONGRESS, ORGANIZATION OF (February Issue)
Foreword 33
State of the Union, by President Eisenhower 35
Comment on President's Message 44
The Committee System—Congress At Work 47
The Full Legislative Process 49

PAGE

Committee Jurisdiction and New Chairmen 50
The Two Major Political Parties 58
State Delegations to 84th Congress 60

EISENHOWER, DWIGHT D., President of the U. S.
State of the Union Message 35
Health Message 69
Presents Plan Re Military Reserve 103
Details of Plan Re Highway Program 139

ELICKER, PAUL E., Executive Secretary, National Association of Secondary-School Principals
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 123

F

FAULKNER, EDWIN J., Member, Insurance Committee, The Chamber of Commerce of the U. S.
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 83

FEDERAL AGENCIES DIRECTLY INVOLVED (DIXON-YATES) 10

FEDERAL AGENCIES INVOLVED (POWER POLICY) 292

FEDERAL AID, EXTENT OF (HIGHWAYS) 133

FEDERAL HEALTH SERVICES 76

FEDERAL INSURANCE ACTIVITIES 78

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 174
Pro—Should The Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? 300
(See also January issue)

FEDERAL POWER INTERESTS, OTHER 13

FERGUSON, HOMER L., U. S. Senator (Mich.-R.)
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 30

FLEMMING, ARTHUR S., Director of Defense Mobilization
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 118

FORDHAM, DR. JEFFERSON B., Dean, University of Pennsylvania Law School
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 245

FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS' HEALTH PROGRAMS 79

FOREIGN POLICY
(See Bricker Treaty-Power Amendment)
(See United Nations)

FULBRIGHT, J. W., U. S. Senator (Ark.-D.)
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 20

FURCOLO, FOSTER, Former U. S. Representative (Mass.-D.)
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 220

G

GOLDWATER, BARRY, U. S. Senator (Ariz.-R.)
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 24

GORE, ALBERT, U. S. Senator (Tenn.-D.)
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 25

GRISWOLD, DR. ERWIN N., Dean, Harvard University Law School
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 247

PAGE

GROSS, ERNEST A., Former Deputy, U. S. Representative to the U. N.
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 277

H

HARRIS, OREN, U. S. Representative (Ark.-D.)
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 176

HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 80

HEALTH RE-INSURANCE PROPOSAL, PRESIDENT'S (March Issue)
Foreword 67
Origin and Development of Plan 68
The President's Health Message 69
Re-Insurance—What It Is 70
The Administration's Plan—The Bills 71
Existing Voluntary Systems 73
Growth and Extent of Protection 75
The Health Services—Local, State, Federal 76
Other Federal Insurance Activities 78
Foreign Governments' Health Programs 79
The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal (Pro and Con Discussion) 80

HELL'S CANYON PROJECT 295
(See also Power Policy)

HESELTON, JOHN W., U. S. Representative (Mass.-R.)
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 82

HIGHER EDUCATION, FEDERAL SUBSIDY FOR (August-September Issue)
Foreword 193
U. S. Role In Higher Education, 1785-1955 195
The U. S. Constitution and Education 197
The Scholarship Situation Today 198
How Colleges Are Now Financed 199
Related Legislative Proposals, 1946-1955 202
Opposing Views on College Attendance 204
Dr. Douglas Bush Dr. Francis H. Horn
Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? (Pro and Con Discussion) 206

HIGHWAY PROGRAM, THE CONTROVERSY OVER THE PRESIDENT'S NEW TEN-YEAR (May Issue)
Foreword 131
Review of Federal Aid, 1912-1954 132
Extent of Federal Aid Today 133
Survey of Current Problems 135
Action Taken By the Administration 138
Details of the President's Plan 139
Proposals Compared 141
Issues Involved in the Controversy 143
Is the President's New Ten-Year Highway Program Sound? (Pro and Con Discussion) 144

HILL, LISTER, U. S. Senator (Ala.-D.)
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 21

HOBBY, OVETA CULP, Secretary, U. S. Health, Education and Welfare
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 80

HOLDEN, JOHN R., National Legislative Director, American Veterans of World War II
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 116

HOLMAN, FRANK E., Former President, American Bar Association
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 242

PAGE

HORN, DR. FRANCIS H., President, Pratt Institute
Opposing Views on College Attendance 204

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, U. S.
(See Congress of the U. S.)

HUGHES, ROWLAND R., Director of the Budget
Pro—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 14

HUMPHREY, GEORGE, U. S. Secretary of the Treasury
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound? 144

I

INDEPENDENT NATURAL GAS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 184

INDUSTRY, NATURAL GAS 165

INTERIOR, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE
(See January and December issues)

J

JOHN DAY PROJECT 297
(See also Power Policy)

K

KEFAUVER, ESTES, U. S. Senator (Tenn.-D.)
Con—Is the Dixon-Yates Contract in the Public Interest? .. 15

KETCHAM, OMAR B., Legislative Director, The Veterans of Foreign Wars
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 252

KNOWLAND, WILLIAM F., U. S. Senator (Cal.-R.)
Con—An Appraisal of the U. N. Record, 1945-1955 269

KOHLER, WALTER J., Governor of Wisconsin
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound? 156

L

LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 253
Con—Is a United Nation's Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 287

LEGAL CASES
State of Wisconsin Vs. Phillips Petroleum 171
Missouri Vs. Holland 232
U. S. Vs. Curtiss-Wright Corp. 232
U. S. Vs. Pink 232
Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. Vs. Sawyer 233
Sei Fujii Vs. California 233
Rice Vs. Sioux City Memorial Park 233

LEHMAN, HERBERT H., U. S. Senator (N. Y.-D.)
Con—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise? 303

LAIRD, MELVIN R., U. S. Representative (Wisc.-R.)
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 81

LODGE, HENRY CABOT, JR., U. S. Representative to the United Nations
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 279

PAGE

Q

McCollum, L. F., President, Continental Oil Co.
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 188

McNamara, Francis J., Veterans of Foreign Wars
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 284

McNary, William S., Chairman, Council On Government Relations, American Hospital Association
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 94

M

MacDonald, Torbert H., U. S. Representative (Mass.-D.)
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 181

Magnuson, Warren G., U. S. Senator (Wash.-D.)
Con—Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? 301

Manion, Dr. Clarence, Former Dean, University of Notre Dame Law School
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 248

Manpower
(See Military)

Medical
(See Health)

Methodist Board of World Peace
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 125

Miles, Clarence R., Legislative Dept. U. S. Chamber of Commerce
Pro—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 282

Military Forces, Disposition of..... 102

Military Reserve Plan, The President's New (April Issue)
Foreword 99
Manpower Problems in the Past..... 100
Present Procurement Policies..... 101
Disposition of U. S. Forces Today..... 102
The President Presents His Plan..... 103
Outline of the President's Proposal..... 104
Constitutional Authority for NRP..... 106
Training Programs Under NRP..... 107
Congress Tackles the Reserve Problem..... 109
The President's New Military Reserve Plan (Pro and Con Discussion)..... 110

Miller, William E., U. S. Representative (N. Y.-R.)
Pro—Should the Niagara Project Be Developed By Private Enterprise? 302

Month in Congress, The.....65, 97, 129, 161

Morse, Wayne, U. S. Senator (Ore.-D.)
Con—Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? 299

Murphy, D. D., President, The National Association of Insurance Commissioners
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 93

Murphy, Robert W., General Counsel, Borg-Warner Corp.
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 219

PAGE

N

National Association of Insurance Commissioners
Con—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal..... 93

National Association of Manufacturers
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 182

National Association of Secondary-School Principals
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 123

National Coal Association
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 187

National Grange
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan..... 119

Natural Gas Producers from Federal Control, The Question of Exempting (June-July Issue)
Foreword 163
Progress of Gas as a Utility..... 164
The Gas Industry Today..... 165
Action Taken By Congress to Date..... 167
The Constitutional Aspects 169
The Natural Gas Act of 1938..... 170
Effect of the "Phillips Case"..... 171
Legislation to Exempt Producers..... 172
Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? (Pro and Con Discussion) 174

Neuberger, Richard L., U. S. Senator (Ore.-D.)
Con—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound?.... 155
Con—Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership? 309

Niagara Falls Project 296
(See also Power Policy)

P

"Phillips Case," Effect of the..... 171

Phillips, Dr. Charles F., President, Bates College
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 209

Power Policy, The Controversy Over (December Issue)
Foreword 289
Steps Taken By Congress..... 290
The Power Picture Today..... 291
Federal Agencies Involved..... 292
Evolution of Power Policy..... 293
Three Major Projects In Controversy..... 295
A. The Hell's Canyon Project 295
B. The Niagara Project 296
C. The John Day Project 297
Should the Hell's Canyon Project Proceed as Licensed? (Pro and Con Discussion)..... 298
Should the Niagara Project Be Developed by Private Enterprise? (Pro and Con Discussion)..... 302
Should the John Day Project Be Developed by Partnership? (Pro and Con Discussion)..... 308

Power Policy
(See also Dixon-Yates)

President of the United States
(See Eisenhower)

PAGE

R

REINSURANCE—WHAT IT IS 70

RESERVES
(See Military)

REUBHAUSEN, MRS. OSCAR M., Director, The League of Women Voters
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 253

RILEY, GEORGE D., Legislative Representative, American Federation of Labor
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 121

ROADS
(See Highways)

ROGERS, WALTER, U. S. Representative (Texas-D.)
Pro—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 178

ROOSEVELT, MRS. ELEANOR, Former U. S. Representative, U. N. General Assembly
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 275

S

SCHOLARSHIPS
(See Higher Education)

SCRIVNER, ERRETT P., U. S. Representative (Kan.-R.)
Con—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 207

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(See January Issue)

SENATE, U. S.
(See Congress of the U. S.)

SICILIANO, ROCCO C., Assistant Secretary of Labor
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 120

SMITH, C. KERRY, Exec. Secretary, Association for Higher Education, National Education Association
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 208

SMITH, WALTER BEDELL, Gen., U. S. A. (Ret.), Commissioner, National Security Training Commission
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 122

SPRINGER, WILLIAM L., U. S. Representative (Ill.-R.)
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 88

STATE, U. S. DEPARTMENT OF
Con—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 235
(See also November Issue)

STEELMAN, JOHN R., Former Presidential Assistant, and Chairman, Scientific Research Board
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 218

STEPHENS, MRS. WALDO E., Director, National Board, League of Women Voters
Con—Is a U. N. Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 287

T

TVA
(See Power Policy)

THOMPSON, FRANK, JR., U. S. Representative (N. J.-D.)
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 206

THURMOND, J. STURM, U. S. Senator (S. C.-D.)
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 111

TREATIES
(See Bricker Treaty-Power Amendment)

PAGE

TRUMAN COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION
Pro—Should Federal Subsidies Be Given Students for Higher Education? 214

TRUMAN, HARRY S., Former President of the United States
Pro—An Appraisal of The U. N. Record, 1945-1955 268

U

U. N. CHARTER REVISION CONFERENCE, THE PROPOSED (November Issue)
Foreword 257
Methods of U. N. Charter Revision 258
Steps Leading to the U. N. 259
Basic Structure of the U. N. 260
The U. S. and the Regional Arrangements 262
The U. S. Senate and Charter Review 263
Nature of Proposals to Amend Charter 265
A. An Appraisal of the United Nations Record, 1945-1955 (Pro and Con Discussion) 268
B. Is a United Nations Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? (Pro and Con Discussion) 274

UNITED STATES
Disposition of ——— Military Forces Today 102
——— Role In Higher Education, 1785-1955 195
Types of Foreign Agreements 227
——— and the U. N. Treaties 228
——— and the Regional Arrangements 262

U. S. ATTORNEY GENERAL
(See Brownell, Herbert)

U. S. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
(See Chamber of Commerce of the U. S.)

U. S. COMPTROLLER GENERAL
(See Campbell, Joseph)

U. S. CONSTITUTION
(See Constitution of the U. S.)

U. S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
(See Congress of the U. S.)

U. S. SENATE
(See Congress of the U. S.)

UTILITIES
(See Dixon-Yates)
(See Natural Gas)
(See Power Policy)

V

VANIK, CHARLES A., U. S. Representative (Ohio-D.)
Con—Should the Gas Producers Be Freed from Federal Control? 177

VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS
Pro—Should the Bricker "Treaty Power" Amendment Be Adopted? 252
Pro—Is a United Nations Charter Review Conference Advisable Now? 284

W

WEEKS, SINCLAIR, U. S. Secretary of Commerce
Pro—Is the President's New Highway Program Sound? ... 146

WILSON, CHARLES E., U. S. Secretary of Defense
Pro—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 110

WOLVERTON, CHARLES A., U. S. Representative (N. J.-R.)
Pro—The President's Health Re-Insurance Proposal 84

Z

ZIMMERMAN, GORDON, Legislative Representative
Con—The President's New Military Reserve Plan 119